

Annual WGEI Steering Committee Meeting

WGEI Work Plan Activity 4: Creating an Extractive Industries Auditor Toolkit

Overview

- Recap discussion from prior Steering Committee meeting in September 2017
- Goals
- Key features
- Concepts for keeping material updated
- Items for group discussion and questions

Recap of discussion at 2017 Annual Steering Committee meeting

- Extensive existing and forthcoming guidance
- Not necessary to produce new guidance
- Instead, develop tool to consolidate existing guidance
- Agreement to create online EI Auditor Toolkit

Key Goals for the EI Toolkit

- Minimize, as appropriate, the level of review required as part of the Forum for INTOSAI Professional Pronouncements (FIPP) process
- Consolidate existing guidance
- Consolidate existing WGEI website resources
- Build the toolkit around the 7-step EI Value Chain

Key Features of the EI Auditor Toolkit

- Organizational structure
- Concise, graphical, and easy to read
- Highlights key content from source materials
- Gives links to organizations and sources so readers can delve deeper into materials
- Provide suggestions for key audit considerations and spur further critical thinking
- Contains a summary of issues related to Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining

Preliminary Concepts for Updating the Toolkit

- Designate a Steering Committee member SAI as the toolkit manager for a 2-year period
- Annual update process
 - The toolkit manager will outreach to other members of the Steering Committee via email and solicit proposed edits to the toolkit text, citations, and sources.
 - The toolkit manager will aggregate the proposed edits and additions and circulate a revised toolkit to the Steering Committee for approval
 - The toolkit manager will perform necessary quality assurance checks and reviews.

Preliminary Concepts for Updating the Toolkit

- External requests to add new material or sources that occur throughout the year
 - The toolkit manager will receive suggestions and requests from organizations and authors to add new sources or material
 - The toolkit manager can use their professional judgment whether to accept or deny these requests
 - The toolkit manager may refer difficult requests to the Steering Committee
 - If the requests are accepted, the toolkit manager will perform necessary quality assurance checks and reviews

Items for Group Discussion

- Are there any suggested edits or ideas for improving the organization or presentation of ideas in the toolkit?
- Are there any other suggestions for how best to update the toolkit materials?
- What quality assurance procedures do we feel are necessary and sufficient for posting the toolkit on the web?
- Other questions or suggestions?



GAO on the Web

Connect with GAO on [LinkedIn](#), [Facebook](#), [Flickr](#), [Twitter](#), [YouTube](#) and our Web site: <https://www.gao.gov/>
Subscribe to our [RSS Feeds](#) or [E-mail Updates](#). Listen to our [Podcasts](#) and read [The Watchblog](#)

Congressional Relations

Orice Williams Brown, Managing Director, WilliamsO@gao.gov
(202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548

Public Affairs

Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov
(202) 512-4800, U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW, Room 7149, Washington, DC 20548

Strategic Planning and External Liaison

James-Christian Blockwood, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov
(202) 512-4707, U.S. Government Accountability Office,
441 G Street NW, Room 7814, Washington, DC 20548

Copyright

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.